

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for which Intercepts Installed ¹	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination ²	Number of Persons	
						Arrested	Convicted ³
TOTAL	2,501	2,381	6	18	91	3,743	455
FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS							
FEDERAL TOTAL	633	624	-	3	1	1,286	112
ALABAMA, MIDDLE	6	6	-	-	-	12	-
ALABAMA, NORTHERN	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
ALABAMA, SOUTHERN	1	1	-	-	-	13	5
ARIZONA	33	31	-	-	-	24	-
ARKANSAS, EASTERN	4	4	-	-	-	32	-
ARKANSAS, WESTERN	4	4	-	-	-	18	-
CALIFORNIA, CENTRAL	71	71	-	-	-	139	4
CALIFORNIA, EASTERN	18	18	-	-	-	37	-
CALIFORNIA, NORTHERN	1	1	-	-	-	3	-
CALIFORNIA, SOUTHERN	28	28	-	-	-	64	-
COLORADO	5	5	-	-	-	21	-
CONNECTICUT	8	8	-	-	-	64	-
DELAWARE	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA, MIDDLE	5	5	-	-	-	18	17
FLORIDA, NORTHERN	3	3	-	-	-	23	14
FLORIDA, SOUTHERN	13	12	-	-	-	39	22
GEORGIA, MIDDLE	4	4	-	-	-	38	-
GEORGIA, NORTHERN	9	9	-	-	-	8	-
GEORGIA, SOUTHERN	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAWAII	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS, CENTRAL	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS, NORTHERN	19	19	-	-	-	19	-
ILLINOIS, SOUTHERN	6	6	-	-	-	12	-
INDIANA, SOUTHERN	9	9	-	-	-	29	-
IOWA, NORTHERN	2	1	-	1	-	7	-
IOWA, SOUTHERN	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS	3	3	-	-	-	11	-
KENTUCKY, EASTERN	6	6	-	-	-	16	-
KENTUCKY, WESTERN	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
LOUISIANA, EASTERN	1	1	-	-	-	1	-
LOUISIANA, WESTERN	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
MAINE	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND	10	10	-	-	-	27	-
MASSACHUSETTS	4	3	-	-	1	18	-
MICHIGAN, EASTERN	10	10	-	-	-	20	-
MICHIGAN, WESTERN	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI, NORTHERN	10	10	-	-	-	9	-
MISSISSIPPI, SOUTHERN	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI, EASTERN	45	45	-	-	-	61	-
MISSOURI, WESTERN	19	19	-	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
NEVADA	2	2	-	-	-	8	-
NEW JERSEY	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK, EASTERN	3	3	-	-	-	10	-
NEW YORK, NORTHERN	14	13	-	1	-	56	-
NEW YORK, SOUTHERN	17	17	-	-	-	59	1

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2012 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for which Intercepts Installed ¹	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination ²	Number of Persons	
						Arrested	Convicted ³
FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS (Continued)							
NEW YORK, WESTERN	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA, EASTERN	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA, MIDDLE	2	2	-	-	-	18	-
NORTH CAROLINA, WESTERN	7	7	-	-	-	9	-
OHIO, NORTHERN	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO, SOUTHERN	5	5	-	-	-	21	3
OKLAHOMA, EASTERN	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA, NORTHERN	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA, WESTERN	6	6	-	-	-	47	8
OREGON	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA, EASTERN	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA, MIDDLE	1	1	-	-	-	19	-
PENNSYLVANIA, WESTERN	16	16	-	-	-	44	-
RHODE ISLAND	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE, EASTERN	11	11	-	-	-	10	1
TENNESSEE, MIDDLE	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE, WESTERN	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEXAS, EASTERN	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEXAS, NORTHERN	20	19	-	1	-	50	6
TEXAS, SOUTHERN	39	39	-	-	-	16	1
TEXAS, WESTERN	45	44	-	-	-	65	27
UTAH	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA, EASTERN	10	10	-	-	-	29	3
WASHINGTON, EASTERN	2	2	-	-	-	15	-
WASHINGTON, WESTERN	14	14	-	-	-	23	-
WEST VIRGINIA, NORTHERN	9	8	-	-	-	4	-
WYOMING	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA							
MARICOPA	13	12	-	-	1	144	61
PIMA	5	5	-	-	-	20	19
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	43	15	-	-	28	99	9
YUMA	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA							
ALAMEDA	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
IMPERIAL	21	21	-	-	-	2	-
KERN	2	2	-	-	-	11	-
LOS ANGELES	159	159	-	-	-	72	-
MERCED	6	6	-	-	-	13	-
ORANGE	13	13	-	-	-	4	-
RIVERSIDE	303	303	-	-	-	200	-
SACRAMENTO	3	3	-	-	-	3	3
SAN BERNARDINO	69	69	-	-	-	75	-
SAN DIEGO	36	36	-	-	-	86	34
SAN LUIS OBISPO	2	2	-	-	-	2	2
SAN MATEO	1	1	-	-	-	1	-
SANTA BARBARA	5	5	-	-	-	17	1
SANTA CLARA	23	23	-	-	-	77	14
STANISLAUS	25	24	1	-	-	19	-
SUTTER	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
VENTURA	22	22	-	-	-	66	1

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2012 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for which Intercepts Installed ¹	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination ²	Number of Persons	
						Arrested	Convicted ³
COLORADO							
4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (EL PASO)	37	2	-	-	35	47	19
9TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (GARFIELD, PITKIN, RIO BLANCO)	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUEBLO)	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
17TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (ADAMS)	11	11	-	-	-	111	-
19TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (WELD)	2	2	-	-	-	6	-
20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (BOULDER)	2	2	-	-	-	4	-
21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MESA)	3	3	-	-	-	14	12
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT							
HARTFORD	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA							
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)	12	12	-	-	-	24	-
5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LAKE/MARION)	10	10	-	-	-	101	-
8TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ALACHUA)	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)	1	1	-	-	-	13	-
15TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (PALM BEACH)	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
17TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BROWARD)	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	7	7	-	-	-	12	9
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE)	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA							
COBB	44	44	-	-	-	26	-
DEKALB	1	1	-	-	-	1	-
DOUGLAS	14	14	-	-	-	31	4
FLOYD	2	2	-	-	-	9	-
GWINNETT	4	4	-	-	-	31	-
HOUSTON	4	4	-	-	-	18	-
LOOKOUT MOUNTAIN	3	3	-	-	-	37	10
ILLINOIS							
COOK	32	32	-	-	-	7	-
INDIANA							
MARION	3	3	-	-	-	10	6
MARYLAND							
BALTIMORE	5	5	-	-	-	35	-
HARFORD	34	15	-	-	19	48	-
KENT	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	2	2	-	-	-	8	5
WICOMICO	1	1	-	-	-	38	-
WORCESTER	1	1	-	-	-	-	-

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2012 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for which Intercepts Installed ¹	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination ²	Number of Persons	
						Arrested	Convicted ³
MASSACHUSETTS							
BERKSHIRE	3	2	1	-	-	15	8
BRISTOL	1	1	-	-	-	-	3
HAMPDEN	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
SUFFOLK	1	1	-	-	-	18	1
MINNESOTA							
HENNEPIN	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
RAMSEY	2	2	-	-	-	11	9
MISSISSIPPI							
ALCORN	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
LEE	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
LOWNDES	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
MARSHALL	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA							
DOUGLAS	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	3	2	1	-	-	-	-
NEVADA							
CLARK	235	234	-	-	1	39	11
WASHOE	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY							
ATLANTIC	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
BERGEN	5	5	-	-	-	6	-
BURLINGTON	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
CAPE MAY	1	1	-	-	-	2	-
CUMBERLAND	15	14	-	1	-	16	-
ESSEX	6	6	-	-	-	20	-
GLOUCESTER	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUDSON	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
MONMOUTH	10	10	-	-	-	63	-
OCEAN	3	3	-	-	-	9	-
SALEM	4	3	-	-	1	3	-
SOMERSET	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	4	2	-	-	2	-	-
UNION	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK							
CLINTON	5	5	-	-	-	7	-
ERIE	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
FULTON	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
KINGS	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	3	3	-	-	-	21	-
NASSAU	3	3	-	-	-	9	2
NY ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE	11	9	-	-	2	143	30

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2012 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for which Intercepts Installed ¹	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination ²	Number of Persons	
						Arrested	Convicted ³
NEW YORK (Continued)							
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU	99	91	-	8	-	49	5
QUEENS	174	170	-	4	-	25	-
RICHMOND	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAINT LAWRENCE	1	1	-	-	-	1	-
SCHENECTADY	1	1	-	-	-	4	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	3	3	-	-	-	5	3
SUFFOLK	55	54	1	-	-	106	15
WAYNE	15	15	-	-	-	2	2
WESTCHESTER	16	15	1	-	-	7	-
NORTH CAROLINA							
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	22	22	-	-	-	22	7
OHIO							
FRANKLIN	1	1	-	-	-	1	-
HAMILTON	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA							
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	9	9	-	-	-	137	5
PENNSYLVANIA							
BUCKS	4	4	-	-	-	10	-
LYCOMING	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	5	5	-	-	-	39	11
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	30	28	-	2	-	36	1
TENNESSEE							
20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DAVIDSON)	23	23	-	-	-	-	-
24TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DECATUR)	1	-	-	-	1	-	-
30TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (SHELBY)	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
6TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (KNOX)	2	2	-	-	-	31	-
TEXAS							
DALLAS	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
HARRIS	1	-	1	-	-	1	-
TOM GREEN	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
TRAVIS	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA							
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	9	9	-	-	-	13	3
WYOMING							
LARAMIE	6	6	-	-	-	24	4
SHERIDAN	3	3	-	-	-	20	14

¹ NP = No prosecutor's report. NI = Never installed.

² Combination refers to installed intercepts for which more than one type of surveillance was used.

³ Convictions resulting from an intercept often do not occur within the same year in which the intercept was first reported. See Tables 8 and 9.